Application No. Applicant(s) 10/617,675 GIGER ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2624 Hadi Akhavannik All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Hadi Akhavannik. (2) Kurt Berger 51461. Date of Interview: 08 January 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Ravdin and Ohno-Machado. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant wished to clarify that the training of the classifier included comparing the one or more truth indicators to a numerical indication of prognosis and that the truth indicators are not inputs to the classifier. The Examiner believes that this would overcome the prior art. . . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. BRIAN WERNER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required